Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 192 7. (VP

File No. 827

Serial Nos. 1610

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos.

P.24 E

Part B for June 28

SUBJECT

Maintenance of fly days levels for each village Panchayet

10 30, The D. C. Hassan, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts and the Supdt. Govt. stationery

No. L 8724-32/L 13.6.28:

States that it is enough if the instructions contained in G.O. No. L 4346 are carried out.

28.7.28

Board's Circular No. 110 dt. 6.23
Hazari
Day book of Village Panchayats
(4) and certain babus heading
as now we can now
Mr Seetharamaiah
16
'F'
Haz

4) The old forms used by Village Panchayets constituted under the Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation of 1918 were prescribed in Notification No.1272 L.B. -- 6-18-14, dated 2nd April 1919. This Notification did not prescribe a Day book but only two forms (numbers V and VI) called respectively the classified register of receip and the classified register of expenditure for recording the details of receipts and expenditure. Rule 7 of the rules prescribe by the same Notification did not provide -- for any register for showing the daily -- balances at credit, but directed that the balance should be struck monthly and proved in the registers by entry of a special note.

3) In the rules now issued, the defect was supplied by providing also for a day book in addition to the classified registers

G. R. S. III—3].

2

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

of receipts and expenditure. Vide forms Nos. 1, 4 and 5 appended to Notification No.L.4346/L.B.26-26-127 dated 6th January 1927. Under rule 61 of the rules issued under this Notification the Day Book has to be maintained by the Chairman and the balance struck at the end of each day, a copy of the day book for each month being sent at the end of the month to the Amildar. The new rules require the Amildar (vide rule 14) to properly classify the receipts and expenditure in his office in forms Nos.4 and 5. This course was considered necessary as it was apprehended that the new Panchayets could not properly classify the income and at the same time provides for an automatic regular monthly check of the accounts of all Panchayets in the Taluk Office.

In these circumstances, it is not desirable to revert to the old practice of the Panchayets maintaining in lieu of a Day Book, two registers in form Nos.4 and 5. There is, however, no objection to any Panchayet classifying its income and expenditure in the prescribed forms in addition to maintaining a Day book in form No.1.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

62252

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

This work may be eventually necessary ~~after the several works for such time~~ transferred to the Panchayets and after all the Panchayets are in a position to properly classify the income and expenditure.

13175
23/6

DS
26/6/27

Draft prepared
DS
26/6

Draft G. O. sanctioned

DS
27/6/27

It does not seem desirable to ~~[illegible]~~ allow some ~~one work to be done by~~ panchayets to keep accounts in the forms and others to leave the classification to be done in the Taluk Office. It may be sufficient to say that classification by the Panchayets is unnecessary in the present and that existing forms may continue

[redacted]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1880—GPB—1,50,000—2 5-21

Draft written
all necessary
Case now for
Consulted
Bdf enclosed

G. R. S. III—3]

4

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Draft Submitted
Ch
12/8

Issue
M
15

L 256-63. 6/2/27
Calls for the opinion of the
Law Officers in the matter

Law A.
27

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 8 of 1927.

Serial No.	
2	Letter No. A 10-86/27-28 dt 22-7-1927 from the Dy Commr stating that ~~the Daybook~~ it would be better to have labour headings in the Daybooks of VPs as it will give at a glance the amount under each head as compared with the Demand under that head as per assessment register
3	Letter No. L-Dis. 209-27 dt 18th Aug 1927 from Dy Commr stating that it is unnecessary to require VPs to make the classification of Panchayet and the classification if adopted would increase the clerical work of Panchayet which is neither desirable nor possible at present
4	Letter no. L. Dis 401 of 27-28 dt 16th Sep 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District stating that he agrees with the proposal that it is unnecessary to require VPs to make classification etc the work can be done in the Taluk Office but if the any V.P. should desire to make the classification themselves there will be no objection to their doing so.
5	Letter No. L. Dis. D2—201/27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 8 of 1927.

Serial No.	
	dated 22nd July 1927 from the Deputy Comm^r Mysore, states that it is unnecessary that the VPs should ~~contain~~ day books labour headings.
6	From Dy Comm^r Hassan Dis. L. Dis 119-27 & 11. 4. 1928 States that it is not necessary that the ~~V.Ps should~~ day books of VPs should Contain labour headings.
7	Letter No. V.P. C. 71/27-28 dt 14. 4. 1928 from the Deputy Comm^r Chitaldrug. States that it is not necessary that the Day books of VPs should Contain labour headings.
8	Letter No VP. 574/27-28 dt 12. 4. 1928 from Dy Comm^r Tumkur States it is not necessary to have labour headings in Day books of ~~VPs~~.
9.	Letter No. A.S.C. 1791/27-28 dt 18th May 1928 from Dy Comm^r Shimoga States that it is unnecessary to open labour headings in the Day books of V.P.s & the headings now printed may stand as they are.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB.—60,000—24-5-27

L5885

7

App. F. III—1

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 8 of 1927

Serial No.

Seven out of the 8 Dy Comms who were consulted in the matter agree to the issue of the proposed order. The draft order already put up is suitably revised and submitted for orders.

9884/26/5

RD 26/5 25/5 Fin Member 28/5

Lee 27/5

Secy. Finance 28/5

To The D.C. Hassan
" D Co of the Mint
" Supdt. of Stationery

G.O.No. L8724-32/VP 8-27-10 dt 13.6.28

Passes orders that the Day book of VPs need not contain balance headings. If the any VPs desire to classify their income & expenditure, Govt have no objection in the matter.

Pass Secy 19/6/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

APP. [illegible]

8

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

(17)

Section VP

File No. 8-27

Serial No. 6 1

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L256-63

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts

Date of issue 16-7-27

Sir,

Signed by Sd/- B. V. Iyengar

In Connection with the representation made to the 1st Member of Council at the recent Dt Conference held at Hassan, that the Day book of Village Panchayats should contain babu wari headings as is not the case at present, it is proposed to issue the accompanying draft Order (that it is unnecessary to give instructions) I am, therefore to request you to favour

List of enclosures.....

Copied by ... 16-7-27

Examined by ...

Attention is invited to make to require the classification under the Rules as the orders be done in the Office but that I am desirous to note the V.P.'s desire to number these classified in the order of their

over

(

the Court with your views

in the matter

H Lauterpacht

Chief Legal Adviser to Court

Ch
12/7 48.

No. A To-P 6/27-28

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Kolar, dated the 22nd July 1927.



From

T. G. Rama Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,
K o l a r.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

V. P. 8-27 — 2

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 256-63/V.P.8-27-1 dated the 16th July 1927, calling for my views on the representation at the recent District Conference at Hassan, that the Day book of Village Panchayets should contain babwar headings etc., I have the honour to state that it would be better to have babwar headings in the Daybooks as it will give at a glance collections under each head as compared with the demand under that head as per assessment register.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SRD/22-7-27.

D. C. 5.
No. L. Dis. 209-27.
Encs.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur, 13th Aug 1927.

From V. Dwarakanath, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Treasury Assistant-Commissioner,
~~Deputy Commissioner~~
(in charge) Kadur District.

To Madhava Rao, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L. 256-63/V.P. 8-27-1 dated 16th July 1927, calling for my views re: the insertion of Babwar headings in the Day Book of Village Panchayets, I have the honor to state that the instructions proposed to be issued by Government, contained in the letter under reply, are suitable and may be adopted.

2. The classification of the Panchayet Fund is not at all necessary, and if adopted, would increase the clerical work of the Panchayet, which is neither desirable or possible at present.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
(in charge) of Kadur District.

M.L.A.

In all future communications please quote—
No.L.Dis....on C2.(a)
~~Exx~~ 401 of 27-28.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the.....16th.....*1927*.

13252
19. SEP 1927

FROM G. B. Hebbikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
~~SxHIRIANNAIYA;xEsqx;MxAx;xBxLx~~
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

~~SUBJECT~~—

SIR,

V. P. 8-27-4

With reference to your letter No.L.256-63 V.P.8-27-1 dated the 16th July 1927 calling for my views regarding the necessity of babbar headings in the day book of village panchayets, I have the honour to state that I agree with the proposal that it is unnecessary to require all village panchayets to make the classification and that the work may be done in the Taluk Office, but, that if any village Panchayet should desire to make the classification themselves there will be no objection to their doing so.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G B Hebbikar

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

In reply please refer to this No. L.Dis.D2-201/27

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 22nd July 1927.

FROM

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
~~THE~~ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.



TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

VP 8-27-5

SIR,

Opinion in the matter of Panchayet day books containing Babwar headings. - - - -

---

I have the honour to state, with reference to your No.L.256-63/V.P.8-27-1, dated 16th July 1927, calling for my opinion on the above matter, that I agree in the order proposed to be issued. But I prefer that the Panchayet should not attempt to classify the headings.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

CMS.

Please state why this is sent here. AS 28/7

Cabinet from Station!

5105Z
13.4.28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Dated Hassan, the 11th April *1928*

FROM

S. Venkatrangam, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN DISTRICT.

V. P 8-27-6

TO

R. Ranga Rao, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARJA.
OF MYSORE, ~~REVENUE~~ GENERAL DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SIR,-

With reference to your letter No.L.256-63/V.P. 8-27-1 dated the 16th July 1927, calling for expression of my views re: the representation made at the Hassan District Conference that the Day book of Village Panchayets should contain babwar headings as is not the case at present, I have the honour to state that as the babwar details are maintained in Forms Nos. 4 & 5 in Taluk offices, It is not necessary that the village panchayets also should have similar details in their Day book, as observed in your letter under reference. However, there appears to be no objection to Village Panchayets also maintaining such details but their classification may not be correct, as competent men conversant with account heads may not always be available to write up the village panchayet accounts correctly.

2. I may mention that I consulted the Sub Division Officers and Amildars in the matter. Most of them are of the opinion that the village panchayets may maintain babwar details

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Venkatrangam
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

ISD.

5152 L
17.4.28

Tel. Address :—Depcom,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. V.P.C.71/27-28.
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 14th April 1928. *192*

FROM

The *Deputy Commissioner,*
Chitaldrug District.

To

The General Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.256-63/V.P.8-27-1 dated 16th July 1927 re the babwar classification in the Village Panchayat accounts I have the honor to state that it is unnecessary to require the panchayats to attend to the classification and that it is enough for all practical purposes if the work is attended to in the Taluk office as is done at present.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

D. C. 9]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, 13th April 1928.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L. 256-63/ V.P. 8-27-1 dated the 16th July 1927 calling for my views in the matter of opening Babwar headings in the day book of the Village Panchayet, I have the honour to state that it is not necessary to have Babwar headings in the day book as under existing orders the classification is required to be made in the Taluk Offices.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Ranganna

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
(Absent from Station).

SGP)

No. A.5. C. 1791/27-28.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Shimoga, dated, 18th May 1928.

From

B.T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 8076/V.P. dated 10-5-28 requesting to furnish my opinion, re: the insertion of Babwar headings in the Day Book of Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that the reference has been replied to in this office No. A.5. Gl. 419/27-28 dated 8-3-1928, to the General Secretary to Governmant. A copy of the same is enclosed for your kind information

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
(Absent on Tour)
Shimoga District.

Letter No. A.5. Gl. 419/27-28 dated 8-3-1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to the General Secretary to Government, Bangalore.

****

With reference to your letter No. L. 256-63/V.P. 8-27-1 dated 16-7-1927, requesting me to furnish my opinion, re: the insertion of Babwar headings in the Day Book of Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that it is unnenessary to open Babwar headings in the Day Book and the headings now printed may stand as they are

****

" C O P Y "

G. Krishnamurthy

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

V.P.S-27-10

Procceedings of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Representation from Mr. Donde Gowda, Hassan, at the District Conference held at Hassan, that the day book of Village - Panchayets should contain babwar headings ~~as is not the case at present~~.

Order No. L 8724-32

Dated, Bangalore, 13- 6-1928

The old forms used by Village Panchayets constituted under the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation of 1918 were prescribed in notification No.12720/ L.B.6-18-15 dated 2nd April 1919. This Notification did not prescribe a Day book but only two forms (numbers V and VI) -- called respectively the classified register of receipts and the classified --- register of expenditure for recording the details of receipts and expenditure. -- Rule 7 of the rules prescribed by the same notification did not provide for any ~~xxxix~~ register for showing the daily balances at credit, but directed that the balance should be struck monthly and proved in the registers by entry of a special note.

2. In the rules issued under the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation under notification No.L.4346/L.B.26-26-127 dated 6th January 1927 provision has been made for

the maintenance of a day book by the Chairman and for striking the balance at the end of each day. Under rules 11 and 14 of these rules a copy of the day book has to be sent to the Amildar at the end of each month and the receipts and expenditure are classified in the taluk office. The Panchayets are not required to classify the receipts and expenditure under the present rules as it ~~was~~ is apprehended that the Panchayets newly established ~~would~~ will not be in a position to properly classify the income and expenditure. The change in the procedure provided for an automatic regular monthly check of the accounts of all Panchayets in the Taluk office.

3. Seven out of the 8 Deputy Commissioners who were consulted in the matter are of the opinion ~~and that Govt. agree with them~~ that it is not desirable to revert to the old practice of the Panchayets maintaining in lieu of the day book prescribed under the present rules ~~only~~ 2 registers showing the classification of the receipts and expenditure; and Govt. agree with them. They have however no objection to any Panchayet classifying its income and expenditure in the prescribed forms in addition to maintaining a day book. This work will be eventually transfered to the Panchayets after all the Panchayets are in a position to properly classify the income and receipts.

4. In case any Panchayet expresses

a desire to classify its income and expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner - may arrange for the supply of the necessary forms to the Panchayets concerned.

Chief Secy. to Govt.

WD 25/5 25 29/6/27

To

The Deputy Commr. Hassan District for information and communication to Mr. Donde Gowda.

The ~~other~~ Deputy Commrs. of other Districts.

The Supdt, Govt Stationery.

PRINT.

K

25/5.

1/6

VL

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:—

Representation from Mr. Bende Gowda, Hassan, at the District Conference held at Hassan, that the day book of Village Panchayets should contain cashwir headings.

ORDER NO. L. 8724-32/V.P-8-27-10

Dated Bangalore, the 18th June 1928.

The old forms used by Village Panchayets constituted under the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation of 1918 were prescribed in Notification No.12721/L.B.6-18-15 dated, the 2nd April 1919. This notification did not prescribe a Day Book but only two forms (numbers V and VI) called respectively the classified register of receipts and the classified register of expenditure. Rule 7 of the rules prescribed by the same notification did not provide for any register for showing the daily balances at credit, but directed that the balance should be struck monthly and proved in the registers by entry of a special note.

2. In rule No.68 of the rules issued under the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation under Notification No.L.4346/L.B.-26-26-127 dated, the 6th January 1927 provision has been made for the maintenance of a day book by the Chairman and for striking the balance at the end of each day. Under rules 11 and 14 of these rules a copy of the day book has to be sent to the Amildar at the end of each month and the receipts and expenditure are classified in the Taluk Office. The Panchayets are not required to classify the receipts and expenditure under the present rules as it is apprehended that the Panchayets newly established will not be in a position to properly classify the income and expenditure. The change in the procedure provides for an automatic regular monthly check of the accounts of all Panchayets in the Taluk Office.

3. Seven out of the 8 Deputy Commissioners who were consulted in the matter are of opinion that it is not desirable to revert to the old practice of the Panchayets maintaining in lieu

lieu of the day book prescribed under the present rules the 2 registers showing the classification of the receipts and expenditure and Government agree with them. They have, however, no objection to any Panchayat classifying its income and expenditure in the prescribed forms in addition to maintaining a day book. This work will be eventually transferred to the Panchayats after all the Panchayats are in a position to properly classify the income and receipts.

4. In case any Panchayat expresses a desire to classify its income and expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner may arrange for the supply of the necessary forms to the Panchayats concerned.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Kasaragod District for information and communication to Sri. Nanda Gowda.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

The Superintendent, Government Stationery

P.T.O.

R.M.K.11.
11/6.

214

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 8724-32—V. P. 8-27-10, dated 13th June 1928.

Day Book for each Village Panchayet.

States that it is enough to carry out instructions contained in Government Order No. L. 4346—L. B. 26-26-127, dated 6th January 1927, in regard to the maintenance of a ——, and adds that necessary forms may be supplied to Panchayets which desire to classify their income and expenditure.

READ—

Representation from Mr. Donde Gowda, Hassan, at the District Conference held at Hassan, that the Day Book of Village Panchayets should contain babwar headings.

ORDER No. L. 8724-32—V. P. 8-27-10, DATED 13TH JUNE 1928.

The old forms used by Village Panchayets constituted under the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation of 1918, were prescribed in Notification No. 12721—L. B. 6-18-15, dated the 2nd April 1919. This notification did not prescribe a Day Book but only two forms (numbers V and VI) called respectively the classified register of receipts and the classified register of expenditure. Rule 7 of the rules prescribed by the same notification did not provide for any register for showing the daily balances at credit, but directed that the balance should be struck monthly and proved in the registers by entry of a special note.

2. In Rule No. 6 of the rules issued under the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation under Notification No. L. 4346—L. B. 26-26-127, dated the 6th January 1927, provision has been made for the maintenance of a day book by the Chairman and for striking the balance at the end of each day. Under Rules 11 and 14 of these rules, a copy of the day book has to be sent to the Amildar at the end of each month and the receipts and expenditure are classified in the Taluk Office. The Panchayets are not required to classify the receipts and expenditure under the present rules as it is apprehended that the Panchayets newly established will not be in a position to properly classify the income and expenditure. The change in the procedure provides for an automatic regular monthly check of the accounts of all Panchayets in the Taluk Office.

3. Seven out of the 8 Deputy Commissioners who were consulted in the matter are of opinion that it is not desirable to revert to the old practice of the Panchayets maintaining in lieu of the day book prescribed under the present rules the 2 registers showing the classification of the receipts and expenditure and Government agree with them. They have however, no objection to any Panchayet classifying its income and expenditure in the prescribed forms in addition to maintaining a day book. This work will be eventually transferred to the Panchayets after all the Panchayets are in a position to properly classify the income and receipts.

In case any Panchayet expresses a desire to classify its income and expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner may arrange for the supply of the necessary forms to the Panchayets concerned.

N. MADHAVA RAO,
Chief Secretary to Government.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, for information and Communication to Mr. Donde Gowda.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
The Superintendent, Government Stationery.

Ed.—P. S. R. N.

W D 3199—GBE—525—28-6-28